

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JACQUELINE VILLEDA,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

GEICO INDEMNITY COMPANY,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:21-cv-00278-GMN-NJK

**Order**

[Docket No. 18]

Pending before the Court is a proposed discovery plan seeking special scheduling review.  
Docket No. 18.

The parties seek a discovery period of nine months measured from the Rule 26(f) conference, a request that is faulty. First, the discovery cutoff is measured from the date of Defendant's first appearance, not the date of the Rule 26(f) conference. Local Rule 26-1(b)(1). Second, the request for an extended discovery period is not supported by any reasoning of any kind, as is required by the local rules. Local Rule 26-1(a).

The discovery plan also seeks a hearing at which to discuss a dispute as to the scope of discovery. *See* Docket No. 18 at 3. The dispute appears to be whether extra-contractual discovery should be stayed pending resolution of Defendant's motion to dismiss. *See id.* at 1-2. A hearing is premature. If Defendant seeks a stay of discovery, it must file a proper motion on that issue.

Accordingly, the discovery plan is **DENIED** and an amended discovery plan must be filed by June 10, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 8, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge